# Chatbots

SHRIMAI PRABHUMOYE ALAN W BLACK SPEECH PROCESSING 11-[468]92

#### Overview

- Chatbots
- Task Oriented
- Non-Task Oriented Dialog Systems
- Building Dialog Systems
  - Retrieval Based
  - Similarity Metric
  - Generative models

#### Chatbots

Designed to simulate how a human would behave as a conversational partner, thereby passing the Turing test.

Chatbots are used for various practical purposes like customer service, personal assistants or information acquisition.

#### Chatbots

Personal Dialog Assistants

- Siri, Alexa
- Helpline Chatbots
  - hotel booking, airline reservation
- Conversational bots
  - Zo, Tay, Xiaoice, Facebook M



Eliza was

based on

keyword

matching



#### Parry was Eliza with an attitude

#### Aspects to think about

### Aspects to think about

#### Persona

- voice, age, gender, background
- Domain
- Scenarios it can handle
- Response for other scenarios
- Variation in input and output



### Chatbots - Classes

#### Task Oriented

- Clear and explicit intentions
- The system should have the capability to process the intents.

#### Non-Task Oriented

- No particular agenda
- "What's up", "How are you doing?"

#### Task Oriented

Intents: actions that the user wants the system to perform

Slots: arguments you need to fulfill the intent

Actions: system performs the task

Eg: "Adds a meeting to your calendar"

Responses: the utterance said by the system

Eg: "Meeting has been added"

### Task Oriented - Example

- User: I want to add one reminder to switch off the light.
- ► System: Intent → Add Reminder
- **System:** Slot  $\rightarrow$  date, time and purpose
- System: When would you like to set the reminder?
- User: In an hour
- **System:** Slot  $\rightarrow$  16 Sept at 4.30pm
- System: Action → Set a reminder at 4.30pm on 16 Sept to switch off the light
- System: I have set a reminder to switch off the light in an hour.

### Task Oriented - Example

User: I am getting late to class, so I need the next bus to CMU. I want to reach as fast as possible.

- **System:** Intent  $\rightarrow$  to go
- System: Slot → date, time, departure\_stop, arrival\_stop (today, in the next 10 minutes, -, CMU)
- System: Where would you like to leave from?
- User: I live on Whitman and bartlett
- System: departure\_stop → whitman and forbes (Wh-Fo)
- $\blacktriangleright$  System: Action  $\rightarrow$  Find the next bus from Wh-Fo to CMU
- System: The next bus is 61A in 12 minutes from Wh-Fo

### Task Oriented

Evaluation Metric:

- Task completion success
- Eg: The system was able to give the correct bus information, the system was able to set an alarm for the right time.
- Length of dialog
- Eg: The system should not ask too many questions, too many repetitions etc

### Task Oriented - Example

User: I am getting late to class, so I need the next bus to CMU. I want to reach as fast as possible.

- **System:** Intent  $\rightarrow$  to go
- System: Slot → date, time, departure\_stop, arrival\_stop (-,-, -, CMU)
- System: What date would you like me to check the bus for?
- User: Today Sept 12!
- System: When would you like to leave?
- ► User: In the next 10 min!!

### Non Task Oriented

- User1: Hey, what's up?
- User2: Nothing much. What about you?
- ▶ User1: Nothing, just the usual hectic life of CMU.
- User2: Yes, its been so long since we caught up. We should may be grab some coffee sometime...
- User1: Ya, that's true. We should definitely meet up sometime. Ok, I need to run for a class, ping me!
- User2: Sure, bye!

### Non Task Oriented

User1: Hey, have you seen the new Kingsman movie?
 User2: No, what's it about?

- User1: It's a science fiction thriller movie. Do you want to go watch it sometime?
- User2: Ya sure, I like sci-fi movies.
- User1: Let's watch it over the weekend
- ► User2: Ok ☺

### Non Task Oriented

Intents and slots are hard to design Can have multiple responses ► Evaluation: Engagement User satisfaction Length of dialog

0...

### Chatbots Architectures

#### Rule-based

- Used very often to build some aspects of personal assistants.
- Eg: "Add 'Meet Alan' in my Calendar"
- Corpus-based
  - Retrieval Techniques
  - Used very often to build helpline chatbots.
  - Examples: "How do I install Ubuntu on my machine?", "I cannot connect to network. How can I connect to wifi?"
  - Generative Models
  - Used very often to build conversational chatbots.
  - Example: "How are you doing?", "Can you tell me a secret?"

# Eliza: Weizenbaum (1966)

- Men are all alike.
- ► IN WHAT WAY
- They're always bugging us about something or other.
   CAN YOU THINK OF A SPECIFIC EXAMPLE
   Well, my boyfriend made me come here.
- ► YOUR BOYFRIEND MADE YOU COME HERE
- ► He says I'm depressed much of the time.
- ► I AM SORRY TO HEAR YOU ARE DEPRESSED

# Eliza pattern/transform rules

(\* YOU \* ME) [pattern]
(WHAT MAKES YOU THINK I PAT YOU) [transform]
Example
You hate me

► WHAT MAKES YOU THINK I HATE YOU



#### Eliza Rules

Pattern Ranked list of transforms Keyword  $\begin{pmatrix} K & ((D_1) & (R_{1,1}) & (R_{1,2}) & \dots & (R_{1,m1})) \\ ((D_2) & (R_{2,1}) & (R_{2,2}) & \dots & (R_{2,m2})) \end{pmatrix}$  $\left( (D_n) (R_{n,1}) (R_{n,2}) \dots (R_{n,mn}) \right) \Big/$ 

<u>(Jurafsky, 2019)</u>

### Eliza Architectures

Examine each word w in user sentence Return the w with highest keyword rank  $\blacktriangleright$  If w exists: Check each rule for w in ranked order Choose first one that matches sentence Apply transform ▶ If no keyword applies, either Apply the transform for the "NONE" key, or Grab an action off the memory queue



### Eliza Example

I know everybody laughed at me

- "I" is a very general keyword:
- ► ( | ((|\*) (You say you 2) ... )
- ► YOU SAY YOU KNOW EVERYBODY LAUGHED AT YOU
- "Everbody" is much more interesting (someone using universals like everybody/always is probably "referring to some quite specific event or person")
- ► WHO IN PARTICULAR ARE YOU THINKING OF?
- Implementation: keywords stored with their rank
  - Everybody 5 (transformation rules)
  - ► I 0 (transformation rules)





# PLEASE GO ON THAT'S VERY INTERESTING I SEE



### Retrieval Techniques

Chatbots built using this technique:

- ► Cleverbot
- ► Xiaoice

Database of conversations:

- Human-human chats
- Human-machine chats

Find a turn in the database that matches the user's input, then give the response of that turn from the database.

#### Retrieval Techniques

Fixed set of query-response pairs in the database.
Representation of the query and the database.
Metric to compare and evaluate the best fitting response.



### Representation

- ► Words themselves!
- Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (Tf-Idf)
- ► N-grams
- Word Vectors

### Representation

- ► Words themselves!
- Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (Tf-Idf)
- ► N-grams
- Word Vectors

#### TF-IDF

#### Term Frequency (TF):

- measures how frequently a term occurs in a document.
- Form frequency tf(t, d) of term t in document d is defined as the number of times that t occurs in d.
- The term frequency is often divided by the document length.

$$tf(t,d) = f_{t,d} / \sum_{t' \in d} f_{t',d}$$

### Term Frequency

Raw term frequency is not what we want:

- A document with 10 occurrences of the term is more relevant than a document with 1 occurrence of the term.
- ▶ But not 10 times more relevant.
- Relevance does not increase proportionally with term frequency.

# Inverse Document Frequency

Are all words equally informative?

- Rare terms are more informative
  - Example: stop words like the, a, and, that etc
- Suppose the input contains a rare term like phagocytosis. (The term is rare in the database)
- A document containing the term phagocytosis is very likely to be relevant to the input

We want a high weight for rare terms like phagocytosis.

# Inverse Document Frequency

- measure of how much information the word provides, that is, whether the term is common or rare across all documents.
- Total number of documents (N) divided by the count of the number of documents that contain term t

$$idf(t,D) = \log \frac{N}{1 + |\{d \in D: t \in d\}|}$$

#### TF-IDF Example

Document (d)  $\rightarrow$  100 words, term "dog" appears 5 times in d.

 $tf("dog",d) = \frac{5}{100}$ 

Suppose, D =10 million and "dog" appears in 999 of them

 $idf("dog", D) = \log \frac{10000000}{1+999} = 4$ 

► TF-IDF score: 0.05 \* 4 = 0.12

#### **TF-IDF** Representation

#### **Vocabulary Table**

Vocab	Tf-Idf
"the"	0.8
"dog"	0.3
"and"	0.5
"play"	0.6
"UNK"	0.1

#### Representation of the input

the	dog	and	the	cat	play
0.8	0.3	0.5	0.8	0.1	0.6

#### **TF-IDF** Limitations

#### Cannot work for synonyms

I find it very common and I find it very prosaic could have very different representations depending on the TF-IDF of common and prosaic

#### Does not take context into account

- Doesn't consider the ordering of words in the query or the document
  - Bob loves Mary and Mary loves Bob have the same representations!

### Representation

- ► Words themselves!
- Term Frequency Inverse Document Frequency (Tf-Idf)
- ► N-grams
- Word Vectors

# N-grams

#### • Unigram: P(w)

- Still does not take context into account
- **b** Bigram:  $P(w_1, w_2)$ 
  - ▶ P("I", "am") and P("I", "is")
  - Takes one word context
- Trigram:  $P(w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3})$ 
  - Takes two word context
- N-gram:  $P(w_1, w_2, ..., w_n)$

# N-grams

Takes context into account

You can set the decide the window size of context

### Similarity Metric

Jaccard Similarity Coefficient

- Cosine Similarity
- Euclidean Distance
- Pearson Similarity
- ► How it works:
  - A = representation of the input and
  - $\triangleright$  B = representation of the query in the database.
  - For each query in the database, we calculate similarity score and select the query which has max score.
  - ► We return the response of this query

### Jaccard Similarity

$$J(A,B) = \frac{\mid A \cap B \mid}{\mid A \cup B \mid}$$

- measures similarity between finite sample sets
- ▶ 0 ≤ J(A, B) ≤ 1
- Can be used when representations are words themselves.
- Cannot be used with vector representations.



# Jaccard Similarity Example



#### Cosine Similarity

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{A \cdot B}{||A||_2 ||B||_2}$$

Measures similarity between two vectors
Values range between -1 and 1
-1 is perfectly dissimilar
1 is perfectly similar



In case vectors are of different lengths then you pad the smaller length vector with 0s

#### Euclidean Distance

$$d(a,b) = \sqrt{(a_1 - b_1)^2 + \dots + (a_n - b_n)^2}$$
$$= \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i - b_i)^2}$$

Measures similarity between two vectors
 Select the query with least distance from the input

#### Euclidean Distance

- Euclidean distance is large for different length vectors
- Example: Let a document  $d = [d_1; d_1]$
- $\blacktriangleright d$  is  $d_1$  concatenated to itself
- $\blacktriangleright d$  and  $d_1$  have the same content
- The Euclidean distance between them can be quite large
- Angle between them is 0, corresponding to maximal similarity.



#### Example

#### Database

"How can I connect to WiFi"

"Go to Settings  $\rightarrow$  Wifi. Select ..."

"How do I install Ubuntu 16.04"

"Download Ubuntu image ..."

"How can I install Java"

"Download the jdk ..."

"Which NVIDIA driver do I need for GTX 1080 Ti"

"sudo apt install nvidia-381"

#### Input

"How do I connect to WiFi"



### Database Representation

#### Database

"How can I connect to WiFi"		Vocab	Tf-Idf	Vocab	Tf-Idf
"Go to Settings $\rightarrow$ Wifi. Select"		How	3/22*log(1/2)	Java	
		can		Which	
"How do I install Ubuntu 16.04"		I		NVIDIA	
"Download Ubuntu image"	Total	connect		driver	
	<b>Query</b>	to		naad	
"How can I install Java"	Words =	10		need	
"Download the jdk"	22	Wifi		for	
		do		GTX	
"Which NVIDIA driver do I need		install		1080	
for GTX 1080 Ti"		Ubuntu		Ti	
"sudo apt install nvidia-381"		16.04		UNK	

#### Database Representation

How	can	I	connect	to	WiFi
0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1

How	do	I	install	Ubuntu	16.04
0.6	0.2	0.4	0.35	0.1	0.05

How	can	I	install	Java
0.6	0.3	0.4	0.35	0.15

Which	NVIDIA	driver	do		need	for	GTX	1080	Ti
0.2	0.1	0.06	0.2	0.4	0.5	0.3	0.01	0.02	0.04



#### Input Representation

Use the TF-IDF counts calculated over the database

How	do	I	connect	to	WiFi
0.6	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1



### Compare

Lets compare using cosine similarity

- $\blacktriangleright I = [0.6, 0.2, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.1]$
- $\blacktriangleright D1 = [0.6, 0.3, 0.4, 0.4, 0.4, 0.1]$
- $\blacktriangleright D2 = [0.6, 0.2, 0.4, 0.35, 0.1, 0.05]$
- $\triangleright \cos(I, D1) = 0.9949069$
- $\triangleright \cos(I, D2) = 0.9472593$
- $\triangleright \cos(I, D1) > \cos(I, D2)$

How	do	I	connect	to	WiFi
0.6	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1

How	can		connect	to	WiFi
0.6	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.1

How	do		install	Ubuntu	16.04
0.6	0.2	0.4	0.35	0.1	0.05

▶ Hence, we select query D1 and return its response from the database "Go to Settings → Wifi. Select ... "

# Advantages of Retrieval Systems

No grammatical or meaning less errors as we store the answers

Works very well for domain specific problems

Eg: chatbot for customer care for a business

# Limitations of Retrieval Systems

We have a constrained set of responses.
No variance in the response.
Cannot handle novel queries.

### Summary

#### Task Oriented

- Intents, Slots, Responses. Evaluation by task completion.
- Non-Task oriented
  - Intents and evaluation are hard to define.
- Retrieval Techniques
  - TF-IDF representation and cosine similarity
- Limitations of Retrieval Techniques

#### Generative Models

Next Class!